PROCESS NMR ASSOCIATES, LLC
Analytical NMR Spectroscopy • Fermented-Beverage Authentication and Fermentation Forensics

Origin, Diagnosis, and Root-Cause Investigation of Sulfurous Off-Aromas and Off-Flavors in Finished Hard Cider: An Integrated, Tiered Analytical Framework for Recall Prevention and Investigation

John C. Edwards, Ph.D.
Process NMR Associates, LLC
84 Patrick Lane, Suite 115, Poughkeepsie, NY 12603
www.process-nmr.com
Technical White Paper – Fermentation Forensics Series

Abstract

The appearance of sulfurous, reductive off-aromas and off-flavors in finished hard cider represents one of the most commercially consequential quality defects encountered in cidermaking, since the responsible volatile sulfur compounds (VSCs) are perceptible at concentrations orders of magnitude below those at which most routine compositional assays operate. In this white paper the phenomenon is examined comprehensively, and an integrated, tiered diagnostic workflow is presented that is intended to serve both the prevention of, and the forensic investigation into, a sulfur-driven product recall. The principal VSC classes implicated in reductive faults are described, together with their characteristic odor descriptors and published sensory thresholds, and the biochemical, chemical, and microbiological routes by which they arise in the apple-must and cider matrix are discussed mechanistically. Particular emphasis is placed on the yeast sulfate-reduction sequence and on the characteristically low yeast assimilable nitrogen (YAN) content of apple juice relative to grape must, on transition-metal and copper chemistry governing the interconversion and latent storage of sulfides, and on precursor- and storage-derived species that develop after packaging. Within the analytical strategy, headspace solid-phase microextraction coupled with gas chromatography and sulfur chemiluminescence detection (HS-SPME–GC-SCD), supported by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS), is positioned as the definitive method for the identification and quantification of the sulfur volatiles at their odor-active concentrations. Quantitative ^{1}H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) profiling and high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) are positioned as complementary fermentation-forensic tools that establish causation through the compositional history of the ferment; the detection-limit ceiling of these techniques relative to VSC sensory thresholds is stated explicitly, and it is emphasized that neither NMR nor HPLC is capable of quantifying trace sulfur volatiles at the sub-microgram-per-liter concentrations at which they are perceived. A recall-grade root-cause investigation plan is developed, encompassing lot genealogy and sampling, a technique-to-sample analytical matrix, designed factorial fermentation trials, copper and latent-sulfide testing, accelerated-stability modeling of post-bottling development, and a chemometric analysis plan whose limitations with respect to overfitting and spurious correlation are made explicit. Labeled placeholders are provided throughout for the insertion of laboratory data, spectra, and the author's interpretive perspective.

Table of Contents

The table of contents will populate on opening in Word (Update Field / F9).

1 Introduction and Scope

Reductive off-character is a recurring and financially significant fault in the production of fermented beverages, and its management is complicated by the extreme potency of the molecules responsible. A finished hard cider that has developed a rotten-egg, cooked-cabbage, burnt-rubber, or drain-like character will frequently be rejected by consumers and by trade buyers even where the offending compounds are present at only a few micrograms per liter, and the reputational and logistical costs associated with a withdrawal from market can substantially exceed the direct value of the affected lots. Because the fault may originate at any point along the production chain — in the orchard, in the incoming juice, during active fermentation, during maturation and lees contact, or after packaging — a defensible response requires a diagnostic framework capable of distinguishing between these origins on chemical and microbiological evidence rather than by conjecture [1, 2].

The purpose of this document is to provide such a framework. The chemistry and microbiology of the volatile sulfur compounds encountered in cider are first set out, and the mechanisms by which each class is generated are discussed in a manner intended to support causal reasoning during an investigation. A tiered analytical strategy is then developed in which the roles of the individual techniques are delineated according to what each is genuinely able to measure. It is a central and deliberate feature of the framework that the definitive identification and quantification of the sulfur volatiles is assigned to sulfur-selective gas chromatography, whereas the quantitative ^{1}H NMR and HPLC methods that constitute the analytical core of Process NMR Associates are positioned as fermentation-forensic tools that reconstruct the compositional history of the ferment and thereby establish why a fault arose. The distinction is not a matter of preference but of physics: the sensory thresholds of the principal offenders lie well below the detection limits of NMR and of conventional HPLC, and any framework that obscured this fact would mislead its user [2, 3, 4].

The scope has been framed around the twin objectives of prevention and investigation. Where a recall is threatened or has occurred, the framework supports a root-cause investigation of the kind that would withstand scrutiny by an opposing technical expert; where production is sound, the same understanding informs the release specifications, at-line monitoring, nutrient management, and packaging decisions by which the fault is prevented. Throughout, the treatment is confined to those aspects of the problem that bear directly on diagnosis and causation, and the cited literature has been restricted accordingly.

2 The Sensory Phenomenon and the Volatile Sulfur Compound Classes

2.1 Reductive off-character and the sulfur volatiles

The sensory descriptors gathered under the heading of “reductive” or “sulfidic” character span a considerable range, and this range is itself diagnostically informative because different descriptors map onto chemically distinct molecular classes. The most immediately recognizable is the rotten-egg aroma of hydrogen sulfide (H_2S), but the fault family also encompasses the cooked-cabbage and putrefactive notes of the low-molecular-weight thiols methanethiol and ethanethiol, the cooked-vegetable and asparagus character of dimethyl sulfide (DMS), the onion and cooked-cabbage notes of the symmetrical disulfides and of the exceptionally potent dimethyl trisulfide (DMTS), the garlic and rubber character of the diethyl species and of carbon disulfide, the cheesy and sulfidic notes of the thioacetate esters, the cooked-potato and savory character of methionol, and the rubber and sulfurous notes associated with benzothiazole [1, 5, 6]. A single fault presentation frequently reflects a mixture of these compounds, and the perceived character may shift as volatile species are lost to the headspace, as disulfides and thiols interconvert under changing redox conditions, and as precursor pools hydrolyze during storage [2, 7].

A property common to this compound family, and central to the analytical challenge, is the extraordinarily low concentration at which the individual members become perceptible. Whereas the primary metabolites that dominate the compositional profile of a cider — sugars, ethanol, glycerol, and the organic acids — are present at concentrations of grams per liter, the sensory thresholds of the sulfur volatiles are typically expressed in micrograms per liter, and for the most potent species in fractions of a microgram per liter. The consequence, developed at length in the analytical sections that follow, is that a compound may be entirely responsible for the rejection of a product while remaining wholly invisible to every routine compositional assay in the laboratory [3, 4].

2.2 Compound classes, descriptors, and published sensory thresholds

The principal volatile sulfur compounds implicated in reductive cider faults are collected in Table 1, together with their characteristic odor descriptors and representative published sensory thresholds. It should be emphasized that perception thresholds are strongly matrix-dependent, being influenced by ethanol concentration, pH, and the masking or synergistic effects of the surrounding aroma matrix, and that the great majority of the values available in the peer-reviewed literature have been determined in wine or in model-wine solutions rather than in cider. The tabulated figures are therefore to be regarded as indicative of the order of magnitude at which each compound becomes relevant, and confirmation against the cider matrix is advised where a quantitative sensory judgment is required [1, 2, 3, 4].

Table 1. Volatile sulfur compounds implicated in reductive off-character, with characteristic odor descriptors and representative published sensory thresholds.

Compound (formula / synonym)	Odor descriptor	Reported threshold (µg L^{-1})	Class
Hydrogen sulfide (H_2S)	Rotten egg, sewage	1.1–1.6	Sulfide
Methanethiol (CH_3SH; methyl mercaptan)	Rotten cabbage, drains, putrefaction	1.8–3.1	Thiol
Ethanethiol (C_2H_5SH; ethyl mercaptan)	Onion, burnt rubber, earthy	≈ 1.1	Thiol
Dimethyl sulfide (DMS)	Cooked cabbage, asparagus, cooked corn	25–60	Sulfide (thioether)
Dimethyl disulfide (DMDS)	Cooked cabbage, onion, vegetal	2.5–29	Disulfide
Dimethyl trisulfide (DMTS)	Cooked onion, sewage, rotting vegetable	0.1–0.2	Trisulfide
Diethyl sulfide (DES)	Garlic, cooked vegetable, rubbery	0.9–18	Sulfide (thioether)
Diethyl disulfide (DEDS)	Garlic, burnt rubber	≈ 4.3	Disulfide
Carbon disulfide (CS_2)	Rubbery, cabbage, sulfidic	38–52	Sulfide
Methyl thioacetate (S-methyl ethanethioate)	Cheesy, sulfurous, egg	> 50	Thioester
Ethyl thioacetate (S-ethyl ethanethioate)	Sulfurous, cheesy, fruity-sulfidic	≈ 10	Thioester
Methionol (3-(methylthio)propan-1-ol)	Cooked potato, soup, savory	500–1200	Thioether alcohol
Benzothiazole	Rubber, sulfurous	50–350	Heterocyclic

Threshold values are representative figures reported for wine and model-wine matrices and are collated from the cited method and review literature [1, 2, 3, 4]; they are matrix-dependent (ethanol, pH, competing aroma) and, because cider-specific thresholds are largely unavailable, should be treated as order-of-magnitude guidance and confirmed for the cider matrix where a quantitative sensory

determination is required. The symbol ≈ denotes an approximate single reported value; “>” denotes a lower bound above which the compound has been reported to be perceptible.

3 Formation Mechanisms and Root Causes

The volatile sulfur compounds encountered in cider arise by several mechanistically distinct routes, and an effective investigation depends upon an appreciation of how these routes differ in their triggers, their kinetics, and the compositional signatures they leave behind. The principal routes are discussed in turn below, and the compositional evidence by which each may be recognized is indicated, since it is this evidence that the fermentation-forensic techniques are subsequently deployed to recover.

3.1 The yeast sulfate-reduction sequence and yeast assimilable nitrogen deficiency

The dominant source of hydrogen sulfide during alcoholic fermentation is the sulfate-reduction sequence of Saccharomyces cerevisiae, a biosynthetic pathway whose physiological purpose is the provision of reduced sulfur for the synthesis of the sulfur amino acids cysteine and methionine. Extracellular sulfate is taken up by the transporters encoded by SUL1 and SUL2 and is activated at the expense of adenosine triphosphate by ATP sulfurylase (MET3) to adenosine-5′-phosphosulfate, which is phosphorylated by APS kinase (MET14) and reduced by 3′-phosphoadenosine-5′-phosphosulfate reductase (MET16) to sulfite; sulfite is then reduced by the sulfite reductase complex (encoded principally by MET5 and MET10) to sulfide [8, 9, 11]. Under balanced conditions the sulfide so generated is captured by O-acetylhomoserine, in a reaction catalyzed by the product of MET17, to form homocysteine, which is subsequently elaborated to cysteine, methionine, and glutathione. The critical point for the cidermaker is that the carbon–nitrogen acceptor skeleton required to fix sulfide — O-acetylhomoserine, whose synthesis draws upon assimilable nitrogen — must be available at the moment sulfide is produced; where the nitrogen supply has been exhausted and the acceptor is depleted, sulfide accumulates intracellularly and is excreted as hydrogen sulfide [8, 9, 10].

This dependence upon nitrogen supply is of decisive practical importance in cider because apple juice is characteristically deficient in yeast assimilable nitrogen relative to grape must. In an extensive survey of apple cultivars, yeast assimilable nitrogen concentrations were found to fall predominantly below the value of approximately 140 mg N L^{-1} that is generally regarded as the minimum required for the complete and untroubled fermentation of fruit juice, with a reported mean of the order of 59 mg N L^{-1} and with free amino nitrogen, rather than ammonium, constituting the major fraction [13]. The amino acid composition of apple juice further differs from that of grape must in being dominated by a small number of amino acids, notably asparagine and, in many cultivars, aspartate and phenylalanine, and in being comparatively poor in the arginine and proline that characterize grape juice [14]. Because ammonium is preferentially assimilated and because its concentration in apple juice is low, the nitrogen available to support the sulfide-fixing skeleton may be exhausted comparatively early, and the release of hydrogen sulfide is a characteristic consequence [13, 14].

The relationship between nitrogen supply and hydrogen sulfide release is, however, neither simple nor monotonic, and this nuance must be respected in any corrective strategy. In cider fermentations it has been shown that the relationship between yeast assimilable nitrogen and hydrogen sulfide production is non-linear and strain-dependent, with both deficient and, for certain strains, replete nitrogen conditions eliciting elevated sulfide, and with the transcriptional response of the sulfate-reduction and stress-response pathways varying accordingly [15, 16]. Of particular consequence for nutrient management is the observation that supplementation with inorganic nitrogen as diammonium phosphate, or with individual amino acids such as asparagine or arginine, did not necessarily reduce hydrogen sulfide production in apple juice, whereas the pre-fermentation addition of a small quantity of methionine was

found to be effective in lowering sulfide release under low-nitrogen conditions [21]. The uncritical addition of diammonium phosphate is therefore not a guaranteed remedy and may, depending upon strain and dose, prove ineffective or even counterproductive; nitrogen management for the suppression of sulfide requires that the assimilable nitrogen status be measured and that the form and timing of supplementation be considered rather than assumed [15, 21].

3.2 Elemental sulfur, fungicide residues, and the reduction of added sulfite

A second family of sources is chemical rather than nutritional in origin and concerns the reduced sulfur that enters the ferment on the fruit or through cellar additions. Elemental sulfur applied in the orchard as a fungicide, and residues of sulfur-containing crop-protection products, may be carried into the press liquor on the surface of the fruit, and elemental sulfur is a demonstrated precursor of hydrogen sulfide and of latent sulfur species during fermentation and storage. It has been shown that residual elemental sulfur reacts with biological thiols and glutathione to generate polysulfide species that constitute a reservoir from which hydrogen sulfide may subsequently be liberated, so that the influence of vineyard or orchard sulfur is not confined to the fermentation in which it is introduced but may persist as a latent liability into the finished product [17, 18].

Sulfur dioxide and the sulfite salts added for antimicrobial and antioxidant protection constitute a further reduced-sulfur input. Sulfite is itself a substrate for the terminal step of the sulfate-reduction sequence, and its reduction to sulfide is comparatively poorly regulated by comparison with the tightly controlled reduction of sulfate. It has been demonstrated that both sulfate and sulfite can serve as substrates for hydrogen sulfide generation in the absence of assimilable nitrogen, but that whereas sulfate reduction is tightly regulated and yields limited sulfide, sulfite reduction appears comparatively uncontrolled, so that high sulfite additions may promote sulfide formation [9, 18]. The practical implication is that both the amount and the timing of sulfite additions warrant scrutiny during an investigation, since an over-generous or ill-timed addition can convert a protective measure into a contributory cause.

3.3 Yeast-strain genotype, micronutrient status, and fermentation stress

The quantity of hydrogen sulfide produced under otherwise identical conditions varies markedly among yeast strains, and this variation has a genetic basis in the alleles of the genes of the sulfate-reduction and sulfur-assimilation pathways. Commercial and natural isolates of Saccharomyces have been characterized as high- or low-hydrogen-sulfide producers, and the phenotype has been related to allelic variation in the sulfate-reduction sequence genes, including those encoding the sulfite reductase and the O-acetylserine/O-acetylhomoserine sulfhydrylase activities [10, 11]. The identification of the genes whose deletion or modification alters sulfide formation, and the recognition that the activity of the MET17-encoded sulfhydrylase is not the sole determinant of release, have established that strain selection is a legitimate and powerful lever for the control of the fault [11]. The selection of a documented low-hydrogen-sulfide strain is therefore among the more dependable preventive measures available.

Micronutrient status exerts an additional and sometimes overlooked influence. It was established in classical work that yeast produces increased hydrogen sulfide under conditions of pantothenate or pyridoxine (vitamin B6) deficiency, as well as under methionine limitation, since these cofactor deficiencies impair the enzymatic steps that would otherwise incorporate reduced sulfur into organic form [19]. Fermentation temperature and the several stresses to which the yeast is subject further modulate the outcome, with the sulfate-reduction pathway forming part of the general stress response, so that a nutritionally marginal apple must fermented under thermal or osmotic stress is predisposed to sulfide release [8, 20]. The interaction of nitrogen status, strain, micronutrient supply, and temperature is such

that no single factor should be considered in isolation, and the designed fermentation trials described in Section 5 are structured to resolve their several contributions.

3.4 Reductive post-fermentation conditions, lees contact, transition metals, and copper-bound latent sulfides

A distinct and, from the standpoint of a post-bottling recall, especially important set of mechanisms operates after the completion of fermentation, under the low redox conditions that prevail during maturation, lees contact, and closed storage. Prolonged contact with the yeast lees and the onset of autolysis release cysteine, methionine, glutathione, and related sulfur species into the wine or cider, and under the low oxidation–reduction potential of an anoxic vessel or a sealed package these species participate in a chemistry that both generates and interconverts volatile sulfur compounds [2, 7]. It has been demonstrated that hydrogen sulfide, methanethiol, and dimethyl sulfide are formed and released during anoxic storage of finished wine at ambient temperature, that this release proceeds from precursor pools rather than requiring fresh biosynthesis, and that the phenomenon is therefore a property of the finished, packaged product [7].

Transition-metal chemistry, and the chemistry of copper in particular, is central to this post-fermentation behavior. Copper is widely employed as a fining agent for the remediation of reductive character, since the addition of copper(II) removes free hydrogen sulfide and thiols by the formation of sparingly soluble or non-volatile copper–sulfur species; the mechanism, however, does not destroy the sulfur but sequesters it in forms from which it may later be released. It has been shown that copper(II) mediates the oxidation of hydrogen sulfide and thiols to disulfides and to organic polysulfanes, and that these species may undergo reductive cleavage under the conditions of a sealed package to regenerate the volatile sulfhydryl compounds [22, 23]. The liberation of hydrogen sulfide from dicysteinyl polysulfanes in model wine has been demonstrated directly, establishing the reality of a latent, copper-associated reservoir of sulfide [24]. The evolution of hydrogen sulfide, methanethiol, and dimethyl sulfide during anaerobic storage has been shown to depend upon the metal content of the wine, with copper and other transition metals exerting a controlling influence [25], and the formation of volatile sulfur compounds after bottling has been shown to depend jointly upon pH and copper concentration [26].

The disposition of copper added as a remediation measure is therefore a matter of direct relevance to a reductive recall. It has been shown that copper(II) added to a wine containing hydrogen sulfide does not remain wholly in a labile, protective form but is in part converted to copper sulfide and to bound species whose residual protective activity is limited [27], and that hydrogen sulfide may be released from copper complexes under appropriate conditions, an effect modulated by glutathione [28]. The corollary for cider is that residual copper carried into a sealed package constitutes a latent liability: a product that appears sound at packaging may develop a sulfidic character over subsequent weeks as bound sulfur is reductively released. The measurement of the sulfur speciation that underlies this behavior has itself advanced, and methods based on liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry now permit the accurate determination of free and reducible sulfhydryls in the liquid phase, providing an analytical handle upon the latent pools that governs the risk [29].

3.5 Microbial routes to sulfurous and associated off-character

Beyond the yeast-mediated and chemical routes, several microbial spoilage pathways contribute to sulfurous and to associated off-character in cider, and their recognition is important both because they demand different corrective actions and because they may confound the sensory interpretation of a fault. The classical microbial disorder of cider is “cider sickness,” a spoilage associated with the bacterium Zymomonas mobilis, which was recognized in the early twentieth century and characterized by the co-

production of acetaldehyde and hydrogen sulfide, giving rise to a fruity, rotten-apple aroma progressing to a sulfidic and rotten-egg character together with gas production and turbidity [31]. The organism catabolizes glucose and fructose anaerobically by the Entner–Doudoroff pathway, and its detection has been placed on a rapid molecular footing through a duplex polymerase chain reaction method developed specifically for its identification in cider [30]. Because Zymomonas sickness produces both an aldehydic and a sulfidic signature, its presence should be considered wherever a rotten-apple note accompanies the sulfurous character.

Lactic acid bacteria and the yeast Brettanomyces (Dekkera) contribute a further, chemically distinct class of off-character that is frequently encountered alongside, and is readily confused with, the sulfur faults. These organisms metabolize the hydroxycinnamic acids of the apple — caffeic, p-coumaric, and ferulic acids — to the volatile phenols 4-ethylcatechol, 4-ethylphenol, and 4-ethylguaiacol, imparting phenolic, medicinal, animal, and barnyard notes. It has been established that 4-ethylcatechol is a principal phenolic off-flavor marker in French ciders, reflecting the high caffeic acid content of the apple relative to grape [32], and that Brettanomyces/Dekkera anomala and Lactobacillus collinoides are jointly implicated in the phenolic off-flavor defect of ciders [33]. It is important to emphasize that this route yields a phenolic, not a sulfurous, fault; its inclusion here is deliberate, because a Brettanomyces or lactic character presenting concurrently with a reductive note can mislead a purely sensory triage, and the analytical discrimination of the two fault families is a legitimate objective of the diagnostic workflow. True sulfate-reducing bacteria are rarely of consequence in the acidic, comparatively aerobic-to-anoxic cider environment and are noted here only for completeness.

3.6 Precursor- and storage-derived compounds developing after packaging

A final group of mechanisms is of particular relevance to a recall because the offending compounds develop, or become perceptible, only after the product has been packaged, so that a cider passing release inspection may nonetheless fail in the trade. Dimethyl sulfide accumulates during storage through the slow hydrolysis of the precursor S-methylmethionine, a methionine derivative present in the fruit; the contribution of S-methylmethionine to dimethyl sulfide formation on aging has been established, and the accumulation is modulated by the primary amino nitrogen content, by pH, and by storage temperature [34, 35]. Because dimethyl sulfide is a double-edged compound, contributing positively to complexity at low concentration and a cooked-vegetable fault at higher concentration, its concentration must be judged against threshold rather than merely detected.

Dimethyl trisulfide is a compound of especial concern on aging on account of its extremely low sensory threshold, and its formation during storage has been documented. The factors affecting dimethyl trisulfide formation in wine have been examined, implicating methionine-derived and methanethiol-derived routes [36], and it has been shown in beer that a low pH intensifies the production of dimethyl trisulfide on aging [37]; the latter observation is directly pertinent to cider, whose pH is characteristically low, and it indicates that the acidic cider matrix may be comparatively prone to the development of this potent trisulfide during storage. The thioacetate esters constitute a related latent liability: S-methyl and S-ethyl thioacetate are comparatively odor-mild in themselves but hydrolyze slowly after bottling to the far more potent methanethiol and ethanethiol, so that their presence at packaging presages the later emergence of a thiol fault [2, 7].

Exposure to light introduces a further post-packaging route in ciders bottled in clear glass. The riboflavin-photosensitized degradation of methionine generates methanethiol and dimethyl disulfide, by a mechanism established in detail for beer and extended to wine, in which photoexcited riboflavin abstracts an electron to yield sulfur-centered radicals that furnish the volatile products [39]. The light-induced changes in bottled white wine, and the underlying photochemical mechanisms, have been reviewed

comprehensively, and the same chemistry is to be expected in a clear-glass cider exposed to light [38]. The practical corollary is that a light-struck fault is a function of packaging and display rather than of fermentation, and that its diagnosis depends upon a history of light exposure together with the characteristic methanethiol–dimethyl disulfide signature.

4 Diagnostic Strategy: An Explicit, Tiered Decision Tree

The diagnostic strategy is organized as a tiered decision tree, proceeding from rapid, inexpensive triage to definitive, instrument-intensive confirmation, and thence to the fermentation-forensic reconstruction of causation. The ordering is deliberate: each tier narrows the field of candidate explanations and directs the more costly analyses that follow, and the roles of the several techniques are assigned according to what each is able to measure. The definitive identification and quantification of the sulfur volatiles is reserved for sulfur-selective gas chromatography, while the quantitative ^{1}H NMR and HPLC methods are deployed to establish the compositional context that explains the presence of the fault.

4.1 Sensory triage: mapping descriptor to candidate compound class

The investigation begins with structured sensory assessment, because the descriptor recorded by a trained panel constrains the candidate chemistry before any instrument is engaged. A rotten-egg character directs attention to hydrogen sulfide; cooked-cabbage, drain, and putrefactive notes to the low-molecular-weight thiols and to methanethiol in particular; onion and burnt-rubber notes to ethanethiol and the disulfides; cooked-vegetable and asparagus notes to dimethyl sulfide; potent cooked-onion and sewage notes to dimethyl trisulfide; and cooked-potato notes to methionol. Where a phenolic, medicinal, or barnyard note accompanies the reductive character, the concurrent involvement of Brettanomyces or lactic acid bacteria should be entertained, and where a rotten-apple aldehydic note is present, Zymomonas sickness should be considered [1, 31, 32]. Sensory triage is indicative rather than conclusive, since mixtures are the rule and perception shifts with matrix and headspace, and it functions to direct rather than to replace the chemical tiers that follow.

4.2 Bench chemical triage: copper(II) and ascorbic acid classification

A rapid and informative chemical triage is available at the bench, exploiting the differential reactivity of the several sulfur classes toward copper(II) and toward a reducing agent, and it serves to classify the fault before the definitive analysis is undertaken. The addition of a small, measured quantity of copper(II) to an aliquot of the affected cider removes free hydrogen sulfide and free thiols by sequestering them as non-volatile copper–sulfur species; where the offending aroma is diminished or abolished by copper addition, free sulfides and thiols are implicated [22, 23]. A separate aliquot is treated with a reducing agent such as ascorbic acid, which cleaves disulfides to their constituent thiols; where a reductive treatment intensifies or newly evokes a thiol character, the fault is attributed, at least in part, to oxidized disulfide species that had been sensorially latent [2, 23]. Where neither copper addition nor reductive treatment alters the aroma, the offending species are copper-non-reactive — characteristically the thioether dimethyl sulfide and the thioacetate esters — and the investigation is directed accordingly [7, 26]. The mechanistic basis of these tests is the same copper- and redox-mediated interconversion chemistry set out in Section 3.4, and their interpretation is therefore secure rather than merely empirical; they remain, nonetheless, a classification aid, and quantitative confirmation is obtained in the tier that follows.

4.3 Definitive analysis of volatile sulfur compounds by HS-SPME–GC-SCD and GC-MS

The definitive identification and quantification of the sulfur volatiles is obtained by sulfur-selective gas chromatography, and it is on this tier that the concentration of each offending compound is established and compared against its sensory threshold. Headspace solid-phase microextraction coupled with gas chromatography and sulfur chemiluminescence detection is the method of choice, because the sulfur chemiluminescence detector responds selectively and approximately equimolarly to sulfur, discriminating the analytes of interest from the overwhelming matrix of non-sulfur volatiles, and because the method attains limits of quantification at or below one microgram per liter — that is, below the sensory thresholds of the analytes [4]. A rapid and selective method employing static headspace injection and cool-on-column gas chromatography with sulfur chemiluminescence detection was validated for ten reductive-fault sulfur compounds and shown to be accurate, precise, and free of the artifactual formation of disulfides during sample handling, and it was applied to commercial wines that had been assessed sensorially for reductive character [4]. The avoidance of artifact is a critical requirement, since the oxidation of thiols to disulfides during sampling would misrepresent the true speciation.

For solid-phase microextraction, fiber selection and headspace conditions govern the sensitivity and the balance of recovery across the volatility range. A carboxen/polydimethylsiloxane coating, or a divinylbenzene/carboxen/polydimethylsiloxane coating, is generally preferred for the simultaneous extraction of the highly volatile species such as hydrogen sulfide and dimethyl sulfide together with the heavier sulfur volatiles, and the concurrent quantification of light and heavy sulfur volatiles by headspace solid-phase microextraction with gas chromatography–mass spectrometry has been demonstrated [40]. Extraction temperature and time, ionic-strength adjustment, and the suppression of oxidation are optimized against authentic standards, and a method capable of the rapid analysis of twenty-seven volatile sulfur compounds by headspace solid-phase microextraction with tandem mass spectrometry has been reported, illustrating the breadth of speciation attainable [41]. Quantification is secured by calibration against authentic sulfur standards, with matrix matching or standard addition to accommodate the ethanol and non-volatile matrix, and the existence of reversible, cation-complexed forms of the volatile sulfur compounds — which partition differently between liquid and headspace — has been characterized and must be considered in the interpretation of headspace measurements [42]. Gas chromatography–mass spectrometry is run in parallel to confirm compound identity by mass spectrum and retention, complementing the sulfur-selective quantification. The limits of detection and quantification, the calibration range, and the quality-control acceptance criteria are established for each analyte and reported with the results, and the measured concentrations are interpreted against the sensory thresholds of Table 1.

[INSERT HS-SPME–GC-SCD CHROMATOGRAM AND VSC QUANTIFICATION TABLE — analyte, retention time, LOD/LOQ, measured concentration (µg L^{-1}), and threshold ratio]

4.4 Supporting fermentation forensics: ^{1}H NMR and HPLC profiling

Where sulfur-selective gas chromatography establishes what the fault is and at what concentration it is present, the quantitative ^{1}H NMR and HPLC methods establish why it arose, by reconstructing the compositional history of the ferment. It must be stated plainly, and it is a point of professional integrity to do so, that neither ^{1}H NMR nor conventional HPLC is capable of quantifying the trace sulfur volatiles at their odor-active concentrations: the detection floor of routine quantitative ^{1}H NMR lies in the region of a few tenths of a millimole per liter, which for the sulfur volatiles corresponds to tens of milligrams per liter, whereas the analytes are perceived at micrograms per liter — a discrepancy of three to four orders of

magnitude. These techniques are therefore deployed not to measure the sulfur compounds but to characterize the compositional matrix that conditions their formation [4, 49].

Quantitative ^{1}H NMR profiling of cider and apple must, acquired with water suppression against an internal standard, resolves and quantifies in a single measurement the major sugars (glucose, fructose, and sucrose), ethanol, glycerol, the organic acids (malic, lactic, acetic, succinic, quinic, citric, fumaric, and pyruvic acids), several amino acids that serve as a proxy for the assimilable-nitrogen status, acetaldehyde, and the higher alcohols. The determination of lactic and acetic acids in cider by ^{1}H NMR has been established and applied to the monitoring of malolactic fermentation and the detection of acetic spoilage [43], the quantitative determination of formic acid in apple juice by ^{1}H NMR has been reported [44], and the fermentation of apple juice has been followed comprehensively by NMR, with the evolution of sugars, organic acids, amino acids, and polyphenols documented through the course of fermentation [45, 46]. The cross-comparison of ^{1}H NMR against isotope-dilution headspace gas chromatography for the determination of methanol in ciders and apple wines further illustrates the appropriate pairing of NMR with a sensitive chromatographic method for a volatile analyte [47]. The diagnostic value of the NMR profile lies in what it reveals about the ferment: a depleted amino-acid envelope corroborates nitrogen exhaustion; an elevated acetaldehyde signal is consistent with the Zymomonas route; anomalous organic-acid ratios indicate microbial or oxidative disturbance; and the residual sugar profile establishes whether fermentation was complete.

[INSERT ^{1}H NMR SPECTRUM AND ASSIGNMENT/QUANTIFICATION TABLE — metabolite, diagnostic resonance (ppm), multiplicity, internal-standard quantification, and concentration]

High-performance liquid chromatography provides an orthogonal and complementary quantification of the same compositional domains and extends the reach of the profile to species less tractable by NMR. The evolution of the organic acids during apple cider fermentation has been determined by an HPLC method developed for the purpose [48], the free amino acid composition of apple juices relevant to cidermaking has been resolved by ultra-performance liquid chromatography, providing the compositional basis for the assimilable-nitrogen assessment [14], and the phenolic off-flavor markers that distinguish a Brettanomyces or lactic fault from a sulfur fault — 4-ethylcatechol, 4-ethylphenol, and 4-ethylguaiacol — are quantified by HPLC with diode-array detection [32]. The assimilable-nitrogen status itself, whose centrality to the sulfide fault was established in Section 3.1, is determined as the sum of the primary amino nitrogen and the ammonium nitrogen, and its measurement on the incoming juice and through fermentation is among the most informative single determinations in the investigation. The respective target analytes, working ranges, and roles of the techniques are contrasted in Table 2.

Table 2. Complementary analytical techniques for the diagnosis of a reductive cider fault, with target analytes, typical working ranges, and role. Only sulfur-selective gas chromatography quantifies the volatile sulfur compounds at their sensory thresholds.

Technique	Principal target analytes	Typical working range	Role in the investigation
HS-SPME–GC-SCD	H_2S, thiols, sulfides, di-/tri-sulfides, thioacetates, DMS	≤ 1 µg L^{-1} (LOQ) to low mg L^{-1}	Definitive identification and quantification of VSCs against sensory threshold
GC-MS (HS-SPME)	Same VSC suite; volatile aroma compounds	µg L^{-1} range	Confirmation of compound identity by mass spectrum; qualitative breadth
^{1}H NMR (qNMR)	Sugars, ethanol, glycerol, organic acids, amino acids, acetaldehyde, higher alcohols	≈ 0.1 mmol L^{-1} (LOD) upward	Fermentation-health profile; nitrogen and acetaldehyde context; NOT trace VSCs

Technique	Principal target analytes	Typical working range	Role in the investigation
HPLC – organic acids	Malic, lactic, acetic, succinic, citric, quinic, fumaric acids	mg L^{-1} to g L^{-1}	Organic-acid history; malolactic and acetic-spoilage indication
HPLC/UPLC – amino acids	Free amino acids; ammonium (YAN = PAN + NH_4^+-N)	mg N L^{-1}	Assimilable-nitrogen status underlying sulfide risk
HPLC-DAD – volatile phenols	4-Ethylcatechol, 4-ethylphenol, 4-ethylguaiacol	0.03–150 mg L^{-1}	Discrimination of phenolic (Brett/LAB) fault from sulfur fault
LC-MS/MS – sulfhydryls	Free and TCEP-releasable sulfhydryls	sub-µg L^{-1} to µg L^{-1}	Speciation of free and latent (reducible) sulfhydryl pools
ICP-MS / ICP-OES	Cu, Fe, Zn, Mn and other metals	µg L^{-1} to mg L^{-1}	Residual copper/iron governing latent-sulfide release

Working ranges are indicative and instrument- and method-dependent. The entry for ^{1}H NMR states its detection floor explicitly to make clear that the technique cannot reach the sub-microgram-per-liter concentrations at which the volatile sulfur compounds are perceived [4, 42].

4.5 Metals, spoilage microbiology, packaging, and redox measurement

Several further determinations complete the evidential picture and address the mechanisms of Section 3 that are not resolved by the volatile and compositional analyses. The metal content, and the residual copper and iron in particular, is determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry or optical emission spectrometry, since it is these metals that govern the copper-associated latent-sulfide reservoir and its reductive release [25, 26]. Spoilage microbiology is addressed by culture and by targeted molecular detection, with the duplex polymerase chain reaction for Zymomonas mobilis providing rapid confirmation or exclusion of cider sickness [30] and with culture and molecular methods for Brettanomyces and the lactic acid bacteria supporting the discrimination of a phenolic from a sulfurous fault [33]. The packaging is characterized for its oxygen-transmission behavior, since the closure and its oxygen ingress condition the redox environment in which the post-bottling sulfur chemistry proceeds, and the oxidation–reduction potential and dissolved oxygen are measured directly, because it is the low redox condition of the sealed package that licenses the reductive release of latent sulfides described in Section 3.4 [7, 24].

[INSERT ICP METALS TABLE — element, method (ICP-MS / ICP-OES), LOD, and measured concentration (µg L^{-1}) for incoming juice, in-process, and finished lots]

[INSERT HPLC ORGANIC-ACID PROFILE — chromatogram and quantification of malic, lactic, acetic, succinic, citric, quinic, and fumaric acids across sample classes]

4.6 Exploratory only: ^{31}P NMR analysis of thiols by phosphorus-tagging derivatization

An exploratory concept is noted here, clearly and deliberately flagged as unvalidated and sensitivity-limited, in the interest of a candid account of the technical landscape and of potential future differentiation for Process NMR Associates. Thiols and other labile-proton functional groups react with phosphorus(III) derivatizing reagents of the dioxaphospholane class to form phosphite esters bearing chemically distinct ^{31}P chemical shifts, a chemistry employed routinely for the quantification of hydroxyl and related functionalities by ^{31}P NMR in other fields. In principle, the derivatization of the sulfhydryl

compounds of a reductive cider, followed by ^{31}P NMR, might provide a nucleus-selective route to their speciation, and the concept is recorded for future evaluation.

It would be misleading, however, to present this concept as a near-term route to the quantification of the trace sulfur volatiles, and the limitation must be stated without equivocation. The analytes of concern are present at micrograms per liter — that is, at nanomolar to low-micromolar concentrations — whereas ^{31}P NMR, notwithstanding the favorable properties of the phosphorus nucleus, requires analyte concentrations several orders of magnitude greater than this for quantification within a practicable acquisition time. The intrinsic insensitivity of the NMR experiment therefore imposes the same fundamental barrier that excludes ^{1}H NMR from the trace-VSC domain, and phosphorus tagging does not circumvent it; absent an extraordinary degree of preconcentration, threshold-level quantification is not feasible. The barrier is compounded by selectivity: the sulfhydryl analytes of interest are vastly outnumbered by competing nucleophiles — water, the polyphenols, the organic acids, and the milligram-per-liter pools of cysteine and glutathione — which would consume the reagent and dominate the resulting spectrum. The honest assessment is accordingly that phosphorus-tagged ^{31}P NMR may hold interest as a research tool for the characterization of the more abundant bound-sulfur and thiol pools, or for method development on concentrated fractions, but that it is not, on any realistic near-term view, a competitor to sulfur-selective gas chromatography for the determination of odor-active volatile sulfur compounds, and it is presented here on that basis alone, as an unvalidated avenue requiring rigorous method development and independent validation before any analytical claim could be entertained.

5 A Recall-Grade Root-Cause Investigation Plan

The framework developed above is now assembled into an investigation plan of the standard that a sulfur-driven recall demands — that is, a plan whose sampling, analysis, and inference would withstand examination by an opposing technical expert. The plan proceeds from explicit objectives and testable hypotheses through a structured sampling regime, a technique-to-sample analytical matrix, designed fermentation trials, targeted latent-sulfide and stability testing, and a disciplined chemometric analysis, to a root-cause synthesis and a corrective and preventive action program.

5.1 Objectives and testable hypotheses

The primary objective is the identification, on chemical and microbiological evidence, of the mechanism or mechanisms responsible for the reductive fault in the affected lots, and the establishment of whether the cause resides in the raw material, the fermentation, the post-fermentation handling, the packaging, or the storage. Several hypotheses, drawn from the mechanisms of Section 3, are framed so as to be individually testable and, where possible, mutually exclusive. The first holds that the fault is fermentative in origin and driven by assimilable-nitrogen deficiency, predicting a depleted amino-acid envelope in the finished product and elevated hydrogen sulfide of fermentative timing [9, 13]. The second holds that the fault is a post-bottling reductive release of copper-associated latent sulfides, predicting measurable residual copper, a reducible sulfhydryl pool, and development of the fault during storage [23, 24, 29]. The third attributes the fault to the packaging redox environment and oxygen-transmission behavior [7]; the fourth to microbial spoilage, whether Zymomonas sickness with its aldehydic signature or a phenolic Brettanomyces/lactic fault masquerading as reduction [31, 33]; the fifth to raw-material reduced sulfur from orchard elemental sulfur or excessive sulfite [17]; and the sixth to a light-struck origin in clear-glass packaging [39]. Each hypothesis carries a distinct analytical prediction, and the plan is structured to confirm or exclude each in turn.

5.2 Sampling plan: lot genealogy and retained material

The evidential value of the investigation rests upon the sampling, and the sampling is therefore constructed around a documented lot genealogy. The production records are reconstructed to establish the parentage of the affected lots — the fruit source and cultivar, the juice batches, the yeast and nutrient additions, the vessel history, the fining and copper additions, and the packaging run — and retained material is secured from both faulty and demonstrably sound lots of comparable genealogy, since the contrast between a good and a faulty lot of shared origin is the most powerful single comparison available. In-process samples are drawn, where retained or where an ongoing production can be sampled prospectively, at the incoming juice, at approximately one-third and two-thirds of the sugar depletion, at the completion of alcoholic fermentation, after any malolactic phase, and immediately before and after packaging, so that the timing of the fault's emergence can be located within the process. Incoming juice is sampled per cultivar and per supplier to permit the raw-material hypotheses to be tested. Samples destined for volatile sulfur analysis are handled so as to preserve the true speciation: headspace is minimized, exposure to oxygen and to adventitious metal is avoided, the samples are held cold, and artifact-inducing treatments are excluded, in keeping with the artifact-avoidance requirement of the definitive method [4]. Separate aliquots are reserved for the compositional, metal, and microbiological determinations, and a documented chain of custody is maintained throughout.

5.3 Analytical matrix: technique applied to sample class

The measurements are allocated to the sample classes according to the matrix of Table 3, which specifies, for each class of sample, the determinations to be applied and the replication to be observed. The volatile sulfur analysis is applied to every sample class, since it is the fault itself that is being traced; the compositional NMR and HPLC determinations are applied across the fermentation timeline to reconstruct the ferment; the assimilable-nitrogen determination is concentrated on the incoming juice and early fermentation, where its diagnostic value is greatest; the metal determination is applied wherever copper-associated release is in question; and the microbiological and packaging determinations are applied to the finished and packaged material. Replication is specified to support the statistical treatment and to distinguish true differences from analytical variability.

Table 3. Analytical matrix mapping each measurement to each sample class, with indicative replication. The volatile sulfur analysis is applied to every class; the fermentation-forensic determinations reconstruct the compositional history.

Sample class	VSC (GC-SCD / GC-MS)	^{1}H NMR + HPLC (acids, sugars)	YAN (PAN + NH_4^+)	Metals (ICP)	Micro (culture / qPCR)	Packaging / redox
Incoming juice (per cultivar / supplier)	Yes (×3)	Yes (×3)	Yes (×3)	Yes (×2)	Yes	–
Fermentation ⅓ and ⅔ sugar depletion	Yes (×3)	Yes (×3)	Yes (×3)	–	Optional	Redox / DO
End of alcoholic fermentation	Yes (×3)	Yes (×3)	Residual	Yes (×2)	Yes	Redox / DO
Post-malolactic (if applicable)	Yes (×3)	Yes (×3)	–	–	Yes	Redox / DO
Retained sound lot (matched genealogy)	Yes (×3)	Yes (×3)	–	Yes (×2)	Yes	OTR / redox

Sample class	VSC (GC-SCD / GC-MS)	^{1}H NMR + HPLC (acids, sugars)	YAN (PAN + NH_4^+)	Metals (ICP)	Micro (culture / qPCR)	Packaging / redox
Faulty finished lot	Yes (×3)	Yes (×3)	–	Yes (×3)	Yes	OTR / redox
Pre- and post-packaging	Yes (×3)	Yes (×3)	–	Yes (×2)	Yes	OTR / closure O_2

Replication (×2, ×3) denotes independent analytical replicates; biological replication for the designed trials is specified separately in Section 5.4. "–" denotes a determination not routinely required for that class.

5.4 Designed fermentation trials

Because the fermentative hypotheses concern the interaction of several factors whose effects cannot be disentangled by observation of the production ferments alone, a designed experiment is undertaken under controlled conditions. A factorial design is constructed in which the assimilable nitrogen level is varied across deficient, intermediate, and replete concentrations — adjusted by combinations of inorganic and organic nitrogen so that the form as well as the amount is represented — the yeast strain is varied between a documented high-hydrogen-sulfide and a documented low-hydrogen-sulfide strain, the sulfur source is varied by the controlled spiking of elemental sulfur or sulfite, and the fermentation temperature is varied across a representative range. Each treatment combination is replicated, and appropriate unspiked and nutrient-replete controls are included. Hydrogen sulfide is monitored during fermentation by an established in-ferment method, and the endpoint concentrations of the volatile sulfur compounds are determined by sulfur-selective gas chromatography, so that both the kinetics and the final speciation are captured [4, 20].

The design is informed by, and is intended to test, the non-linear and form-dependent behavior established in the cider-specific literature. Because supplementation with diammonium phosphate or with individual amino acids has been shown not necessarily to reduce hydrogen sulfide in apple juice, whereas methionine addition was effective under low-nitrogen conditions, and because both deficient and replete nitrogen can elevate sulfide in a strain-dependent manner, the nitrogen arm of the design explicitly contrasts the form and timing of supplementation rather than treating nitrogen as a single scalar quantity [15, 21]. The trials thereby serve both to confirm the operative mechanism in the affected production and to calibrate the preventive nutrient strategy for future lots.

5.5 Copper and latent-sulfide testing and accelerated-stability modeling

The post-bottling hypotheses are addressed by targeted testing of the latent-sulfide reservoir and by an accelerated-stability protocol that models the development of the fault over the product's shelf life. The copper speciation is examined, and the reducible sulfhydryl pool is assayed by the controlled reduction of the sample, since it is this latent, reducible fraction that governs the post-bottling emergence of the thiol and sulfide faults. The accurate measurement of free and reducible sulfhydryls by liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry provides the analytical basis for this assessment [29], the release of hydrogen sulfide from copper complexes and its modulation by glutathione is probed directly [28], and the residual, protectively active copper is distinguished from the bound copper that has been converted to copper sulfide [27].

The accelerated-stability protocol subjects retained material to elevated temperature over a defined period, with periodic sulfur-selective gas chromatographic determination, so that the trajectory of the volatile sulfur compounds is charted and the latent liability of a product that appeared sound at packaging is exposed. The protocol incorporates contrasting arms to isolate the operative mechanism: anoxic and

oxygen-exposed conditions distinguish the reductive-release route from oxidative chemistry, and a light-exposure arm on clear-glass packaging tests the light-struck hypothesis by reference to the characteristic methanethiol–dimethyl disulfide signature [7, 39]. Because dimethyl sulfide and dimethyl trisulfide accumulate on aging from precursor hydrolysis, and because the low pH of cider has been shown to intensify dimethyl trisulfide formation, the accelerated protocol is well suited to reveal the storage-derived compounds that a release inspection at packaging would not detect [34, 36, 37].

5.6 Chemometric analysis plan and its limitations

The several data streams generated by the investigation — the process variables, the compositional NMR and HPLC profiles, the metal concentrations, and the volatile sulfur outcomes — invite a multivariate treatment, and a chemometric analysis plan is accordingly specified. Unsupervised methods, principal component analysis foremost among them, are applied first to survey the structure of the data, to identify clustering of sound and faulty lots, and to detect outliers, without reference to the fault classification; supervised methods, including partial least squares regression and discriminant analysis, are then applied to relate the process and compositional variables to the volatile sulfur outcomes [49]. The intent is to identify the compositional and process signatures that co-vary with the fault and thereby to corroborate the mechanistic inferences drawn from the univariate evidence.

It is essential, however, to state the limitations of this approach candidly, because the multivariate analysis of a modest number of samples described by a large number of variables is acutely vulnerable to overfitting and to the discovery of spurious correlation. Where the number of variables approaches or exceeds the number of samples, a supervised model can be constructed that separates the classes perfectly on the training data while possessing no predictive validity whatever, and an apparent correlation between a compositional variable and the fault may reflect nothing more than chance covariance in a small sample. The plan therefore imposes several safeguards: the sample number is required to be adequate to the dimensionality of the problem before any predictive claim is entertained; model validity is assessed by properly independent validation rather than by resubstitution, with nested cross-validation and permutation testing employed to establish that the apparent structure exceeds that obtainable by chance; the selection of variables is conducted within, and not prior to, the validation loop, so as to avoid the selection bias that inflates apparent performance; and no predictive or diagnostic claim is advanced on the basis of an unvalidated model. The chemometric analysis is thus regarded as hypothesis-generating and corroborative rather than as independently probative, and its conclusions are required to be consistent with the mechanistic and univariate evidence before they are relied upon. This discipline is not a counsel of perfection but a precondition of defensibility, since an opposing expert would properly seize upon any predictive claim resting upon an overfitted or inadequately validated model.

5.7 Root-cause synthesis and corrective and preventive action program

The evidence assembled across the tiers is integrated in a structured root-cause synthesis, organized along the several branches by which a reductive fault may arise — the fruit and juice, the yeast and its nutrition, the process and its redox environment, the metals, the packaging, the storage, and the microbiology — in the manner of an Ishikawa cause-and-effect analysis. Each branch is populated with the specific findings of the investigation: the assimilable-nitrogen status and amino-acid envelope on the nutrition branch; the residual copper and reducible-sulfhydryl pool on the metals branch; the oxidation–reduction potential, dissolved oxygen, and closure oxygen-transmission behavior on the packaging and redox branches; the Zymomonas, Brettanomyces, and lactic-acid-bacterium determinations on the microbiology branch; and the elemental-sulfur and sulfite history on the fruit-and-juice branch. The operative cause, or the combination of contributory causes, is identified as that branch or set of branches whose findings are

corroborated across the volatile, compositional, metal, and microbiological evidence and are consistent with the timing of the fault’s emergence.

The synthesis issues in a corrective and preventive action program directed at the identified cause. Release specifications are established that place quantitative limits upon the volatile sulfur compounds, upon dissolved oxygen, and upon residual copper, so that a product bearing a latent liability is intercepted before release. At-line fermentation monitoring is instituted to detect sulfide production during fermentation, when intervention is still possible. Nutrient management is placed upon a measured footing, with the assimilable nitrogen of the incoming juice determined and the form and timing of supplementation matched to the deficiency, in recognition of the finding that inorganic supplementation alone may not suppress sulfide and that the form of nitrogen matters [13, 21]. A documented low-hydrogen-sulfide yeast strain is selected where the fermentative route is implicated [10, 11]. A copper-management protocol is adopted that minimizes copper additions, measures residual copper, and avoids the excess that constitutes a post-bottling liability [26, 27]. The closure and its oxygen-transmission characteristics are selected to maintain a redox environment that does not license the reductive release of latent sulfides, and light-protective packaging is adopted where a light-struck route is a risk [7, 39]. Through the coordinated application of these measures, the fault that occasioned the investigation is not merely explained but is prevented from recurring, and the analytical framework that diagnosed it is retained as an ongoing instrument of quality assurance.

References

All references below were verified against CrossRef full metadata (authors, title, journal, year, volume, and pages) prior to citation; entries whose metadata could not be confirmed were excluded. Numbering follows first appearance in the text.

[1] M.E. Smith, M.Z. Bekker, P.A. Smith, E.N. Wilkes. Sources of volatile sulfur compounds in wine. Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research 2015, 21, 705–712. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajgw.12193

[2] Gal Y. Kreitman, Ryan J. Elias, David W. Jeffery, Gavin L. Sacks. Loss and formation of malodorous volatile sulfhydryl compounds during wine storage. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition 2019, 59 (11), 1728–1752. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2018.1427043

[3] Štefan Ailer, Silvia Jakabová, Lucia Benešová, Violeta Ivanova-Petropulos. Wine Faults: State of Knowledge in Reductive Aromas, Oxidation and Atypical Aging, Prevention, and Correction Methods. Molecules 2022, 27 (11), 3535. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27113535

[4] Tracey E. Siebert, Mark R. Solomon, Alan P. Pollnitz, David W. Jeffery. Selective Determination of Volatile Sulfur Compounds in Wine by Gas Chromatography with Sulfur Chemiluminescence Detection. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 2010, 58 (17), 9454–9462. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf102008r

[5] Vicente Ferreira, Ernesto Franco-Luesma, Eduardo Vela, Ricardo López, Purificación Hernández-Orte. Elusive Chemistry of Hydrogen Sulfide and Mercaptans in Wine. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 2018, 66 (10), 2237–2246. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b02427

[6] J. H. Swiegers, I. S. Pretorius. Modulation of volatile sulfur compounds by wine yeast. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 2007, 74 (5), 954–960. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-006-0828-1

[7] Ernesto Franco-Luesma, Vicente Ferreira. Formation and Release of H2S, Methanethiol, and Dimethylsulfide during the Anoxic Storage of Wines at Room Temperature. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 2016, 64 (32), 6317–6326. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.6b01638

[8] Chien-Wei Huang, Michelle E. Walker, Bruno Fedrizzi, Richard C. Gardner, Vladimir Jiranek. Hydrogen sulfide and its roles in Saccharomyces cerevisiae in a winemaking context. FEMS Yeast Research 2017, 17 (6), fox058. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsyr/fox058

[9] V Jiranek, P Langridge, P A Henschke. Regulation of hydrogen sulfide liberation in wine-producing Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains by assimilable nitrogen. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 1995, 61 (2), 461–467. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.61.2.461-467.1995

[10] Apostolos Spiropoulos, Jota Tanaka, Ioannis Flerianos, Linda F. Bisson. Characterization of Hydrogen Sulfide Formation in Commercial and Natural Wine Isolates of Saccharomyces. American Journal of Enology and Viticulture 2000, 51 (3), 233–248. https://doi.org/10.5344/ajev.2000.51.3.233

[11] Angela L. Linderholm, Carrie L. Findleton, Gagandeep Kumar, Yeun Hong, Linda F. Bisson. Identification of Genes Affecting Hydrogen Sulfide Formation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 2008, 74 (5), 1418–1427. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.01758-07

[12] Ana Mendes-Ferreira, Catarina Barbosa, Virgílio Falco, Cecília Leão, Arlete Mendes-Faia. The production of hydrogen sulphide and other aroma compounds by wine strains of Saccharomyces cerevisiae in synthetic media with different nitrogen concentrations. Journal of Industrial Microbiology & Biotechnology 2009, 36 (4), 571–583. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-009-0527-x

[13] Thomas F. Boudreau, Gregory M. Peck, Sean F. O'Keefe, Amanda C. Stewart. Free amino nitrogen concentration correlates to total yeast assimilable nitrogen concentration in apple juice. Food Science & Nutrition 2018, 6 (1), 119–123. https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.536

[14] Sihui Ma, Andrew P. Neilson, Jacob Lahne, Gregory M. Peck, Sean F. O'Keefe, Amanda C. Stewart. Free amino acid composition of apple juices with potential for cider making as determined by UPLC-PDA. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 2018, 124 (4), 467–476. https://doi.org/10.1002/jib.519

[15] Yangbo Song, Patrick Gibney, Lailiang Cheng, Shuwen Liu, Gregory Peck. Yeast Assimilable Nitrogen Concentrations Influence Yeast Gene Expression and Hydrogen Sulfide Production During Cider Fermentation. Frontiers in Microbiology 2020, 11, 1264. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.01264

[16] Maurizio Ugliano, Radka Kolouchova, Paul A. Henschke. Occurrence of hydrogen sulfide in wine and in fermentation: influence of yeast strain and supplementation of yeast available nitrogen. Journal of Industrial Microbiology & Biotechnology 2011, 38 (3), 423–429. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-010-0786-6

[17] Jillian A. Jastrzembski, Rachel B. Allison, Elle Friedberg, Gavin L. Sacks. Role of Elemental Sulfur in Forming Latent Precursors of H2S in Wine. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 2017, 65 (48), 10542–10549. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b04015

[18] Doris Rauhut. Usage and Formation of Sulphur Compounds. In Biology of Microorganisms on Grapes, in Must and in Wine; 2009; pp 181–207. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-85463-0_10

[19] T. Wainwright. Hydrogen Sulphide Production by Yeast under Conditions of Methionine, Pantothenate or Vitamin B6 Deficiency. Journal of General Microbiology 1970, 61 (1), 107–119. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-61-1-107

[20] Maurizio Ugliano, Bruno Fedrizzi, Tracey Siebert, Brooke Travis, Franco Magno, Giuseppe Versini, Paul A. Henschke. Effect of Nitrogen Supplementation and Saccharomyces Species on Hydrogen Sulfide and Other Volatile Sulfur Compounds in Shiraz Fermentation and Wine. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 2009, 57 (11), 4948–4955. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf8037693

[21] Thomas F. Boudreau, Gregory M. Peck, Sihui Ma, Nicholas Patrick, Susan Duncan, Sean F. O'Keefe, Amanda C. Stewart. Hydrogen sulphide production during cider fermentation is moderated by pre-fermentation methionine addition. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 2017, 123 (4), 553–561. https://doi.org/10.1002/jib.449

[22] Gal Y. Kreitman, John C. Danilewicz, David. W. Jeffery, Ryan J. Elias. Reaction Mechanisms of Metals with Hydrogen Sulfide and Thiols in Model Wine. Part 1: Copper-Catalyzed Oxidation. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 2016, 64 (20), 4095–4104. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.6b00641

[23] Gal Y. Kreitman, John C. Danilewicz, David. W. Jeffery, Ryan J. Elias. Copper(II)-Mediated Hydrogen Sulfide and Thiol Oxidation to Disulfides and Organic Polysulfanes and Their Reductive Cleavage in Wine: Mechanistic Elucidation and Potential Applications. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 2017, 65 (12), 2564–2571. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.6b05418

[24] Marlize Z. Bekker, Gal Y. Kreitman, David W. Jeffery, John C. Danilewicz. Liberation of Hydrogen Sulfide from Dicysteinyl Polysulfanes in Model Wine. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 2018, 66 (51), 13483–13491. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.8b04690

[25] Marlize Z. Viviers, Mark E. Smith, Eric Wilkes, Paul Smith. Effects of Five Metals on the Evolution of Hydrogen Sulfide, Methanethiol, and Dimethyl Sulfide during Anaerobic Storage of Chardonnay and Shiraz Wines. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 2013, 61 (50), 12385–12396. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf403422x

[26] Marlize Z. Bekker, Agnieszka Mierczynska-Vasilev, Paul A. Smith, Eric N. Wilkes. The effects of pH and copper on the formation of volatile sulfur compounds in Chardonnay and Shiraz wines post-bottling. Food Chemistry 2016, 207, 148–156. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.03.060

[27] A.C. Clark, P. Grant-Preece, N. Cleghorn, G.R. Scollary. Copper(II) addition to white wines containing hydrogen sulfide: residual copper concentration and activity. Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research 2015, 21 (1), 30–39. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajgw.12114

[28] Rachel B. Allison, Gavin L. Sacks. Brine-Releasable Hydrogen Sulfide in Wine: Mechanism of Release from Copper Complexes and Effects of Glutathione. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 2021, 69 (44), 13164–13172. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.1c04885

[29] Marlize Z. Bekker, Maryam Taraji, Vilma Hysenaj, Natoiya Lloyd. Accurate measurement of sulfhydryls and TCEP-releasable sulfhydryls in the liquid phase of wine that contribute to ‘reductive’ aromas using LC-MS/MS. Heliyon 2024, 10 (7), e28929. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e28929

[30] M. Coton, J.M. Laplace, Y. Auffray, E. Coton. Duplex PCR Method for Rapid Detection of Zymomonas mobilis in Cider. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 2005, 111 (3), 299–303. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2050-0416.2005.tb00687.x

[31] B. T. P. Barker. Further Observations on Cider Sickness. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1913, 19 (2), 58–83. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2050-0416.1913.tb02306.x

[32] Nicolas Buron, Hugues Guichard, Emmanuel Coton, Jérôme Ledauphin, Daniel Barillier. Evidence of 4-ethylcatechol as one of the main phenolic off-flavour markers in French ciders. Food Chemistry 2011, 125 (2), 542–548. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.09.046

[33] Nicolas Buron, Monika Coton, Patrick Legendre, Jérôme Ledauphin, Valérie Kientz-Bouchart, Hugues Guichard, Daniel Barillier, Emmanuel Coton. Implications of Lactobacillus collinoides and Brettanomyces/Dekkera anomala in phenolic off-flavour defects of ciders. International Journal of Food Microbiology 2012, 153 (1-2), 159–165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.11.002

[34] Marie A. Segurel, Alain J. Razungles, Christophe Riou, Myriam Salles, Raymond L. Baumes. Contribution of Dimethyl Sulfide to the Aroma of Syrah and Grenache Noir Wines and Estimation of Its Potential in Grapes of These Varieties. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 2004, 52 (23), 7084–7093. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf049160a

[35] Jessica A. Samaniego Solis, Giovanni Luzzini, Davide Slaghenaufi, Maurizio Ugliano. Dimethyl Sulfide (DMS) in Amarone Wines: Influence of Aging, Withering, Grape Variety, and Geographical Origin. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 2024, 72 (4), 1978–1984. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.3c00728

[36] Nahoko Nishibori, Asami Kuroda, Osamu Yamada, Nami Goto-Yamamoto. Factors Affecting Dimethyl Trisulfide Formation in Wine. Food Science and Technology Research 2017, 23 (2), 241–248. https://doi.org/10.3136/fstr.23.241

[37] Laurence Gijs, Fabienne Chevance, Vesna Jerkovic, Sonia Collin. How Low pH Can Intensify β-Damascenone and Dimethyl Trisulfide Production through Beer Aging. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 2002, 50 (20), 5612–5616. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf020563p

[38] Paris Grant-Preece, Celia Barril, Leigh M. Schmidtke, Geoffrey R. Scollary, Andrew C. Clark. Light-induced changes in bottled white wine and underlying photochemical mechanisms. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition 2017, 57 (4), 743–754. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2014.919246

[39] Kevin Huvaere, Mogens L. Andersen, Michael Storme, Jan Van Bocxlaer, Leif H. Skibsted, Denis De Keukeleire. Flavin-induced photodecomposition of sulfur-containing amino acids is decisive in the formation of beer lightstruck flavor. Photochemical & Photobiological Sciences 2006, 5 (10), 961–969. https://doi.org/10.1039/b609337j

[40] Bruno Fedrizzi, Franco Magno, Sergio Moser, Giorgio Nicolini, Giuseppe Versini. Concurrent quantification of light and heavy sulphur volatiles in wine by headspace solid-phase microextraction coupled with gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry 2007, 21 (5), 707–714. https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.2893

[41] Davide Slaghenaufi, Loris Tonidandel, Sergio Moser, Tomás Román Villegas, Roberto Larcher. Rapid Analysis of 27 Volatile Sulfur Compounds in Wine by Headspace Solid-Phase Microextraction Gas Chromatography Tandem Mass Spectrometry. Food Analytical Methods 2017, 10 (11), 3706–3715. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12161-017-0930-2

[42] Ernesto Franco-Luesma, Vicente Ferreira. Quantitative analysis of free and bonded forms of volatile sulfur compouds in wine. Basic methodologies and evidences showing the existence of reversible cation-complexed forms. Journal of Chromatography A 2014, 1359, 8–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2014.07.011

[43] Andoni Zuriarrain, Juan Zuriarrain, Ana Isabel Puertas, María Teresa Dueñas, Iñaki Berregi. Quantitative determination of lactic and acetic acids in cider by 1 H NMR spectrometry. Food Control 2015, 52, 49–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.12.028

[44] Iñaki Berregi, Gloria del Campo, Raúl Caracena, José Ignacio Miranda. Quantitative determination of formic acid in apple juices by 1H NMR spectrometry. Talanta 2007, 72 (3), 1049–1053. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2006.12.031

[45] Erica Cusano, Laura Ruth Cagliani, Roberto Consonni, Barbara Simonato, Giacomo Zapparoli. NMR-based metabolic profiling of different yeast fermented apple juices. LWT 2020, 118, 108771. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2019.108771

[46] Erica Cusano, Barbara Simonato, Roberto Consonni. Fermentation process of apple juice investigated by NMR spectroscopy. LWT 2018, 96, 147–151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2018.05.021

[47] Raphael Convertini, Claus Patz, Keshav Kumar, Bianca May, Wilfried Andlauer, Ralf Schweiggert. 1H NMR spectrometry for methanol quantification in apple wines and ciders as optimised by comparison to SIDA-HS-GC-MS. Food Chemistry 2022, 387, 132912. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2022.132912

[48] Hong Zhang, Feng Zhou, Baoping Ji, Rob M. J. Nout, Qiang Fang, Zhiwei Yang. Determination of organic acids evolution during apple cider fermentation using an improved HPLC analysis method. European Food Research and Technology 2008, 227 (4), 1183–1190. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-008-0835-9

[49] Roberto Consonni, Laura Ruth Cagliani. The potentiality of NMR-based metabolomics in food science and food authentication assessment. Magnetic Resonance in Chemistry 2019, 57 (9), 558–578. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrc.4807

Summary

A reductive, sulfurous fault in finished hard cider is among the most commercially damaging quality defects in cidermaking precisely because the responsible volatile sulfur compounds are perceived at concentrations far below those at which routine compositional analysis operates, and its investigation therefore demands a framework that matches each analytical technique to the task it can actually perform. The principal volatile sulfur compounds — hydrogen sulfide, the low-molecular-weight thiols, the mono-, di-, and trisulfides, the thioacetate esters, methionol, and benzothiazole — have been described with their characteristic descriptors and their published, matrix-dependent sensory thresholds, and the routes by which they arise have been set out mechanistically. These routes comprise the yeast sulfate-reduction sequence, whose activity is governed by the characteristically low assimilable-nitrogen content of apple juice; the entry of reduced sulfur as orchard elemental sulfur and as added sulfite; the genotypic and micronutrient determinants of strain-dependent sulfide production; the copper- and redox-mediated interconversion and latent storage of sulfides that operates after fermentation and after packaging; the microbial routes of Zymomonas cider sickness and of the phenolic Brettanomyces and lactic faults that can confound a sensory diagnosis; and the precursor- and storage-derived compounds, including dimethyl sulfide, dimethyl trisulfide, the hydrolyzing thioacetates, and the light-struck products, that develop only after packaging.

The analytical strategy has been organized as a tiered decision tree in which headspace solid-phase microextraction with sulfur chemiluminescence detection, supported by mass spectrometry, provides the definitive identification and quantification of the sulfur volatiles against their sensory thresholds, and in which quantitative ^{1}H NMR and HPLC provide the complementary, fermentation-forensic reconstruction of the compositional history that establishes causation. It has been stated without qualification that the NMR and HPLC methods cannot quantify the trace sulfur volatiles at their odor thresholds, a limitation of three to four orders of magnitude that no framing may obscure, and the exploratory concept of phosphorus-tagged ^{31}P NMR analysis of thiols has been recorded together with a candid statement of the sensitivity barrier that renders it, on any realistic near-term view, unsuited to trace-VSC quantification. A recall-grade investigation plan has been developed, from lot genealogy and sampling, through a technique-to-sample analytical matrix and designed factorial fermentation trials, to targeted latent-sulfide and accelerated-stability testing, a chemometric analysis whose vulnerability to overfitting and spurious correlation has been made explicit, and a root-cause synthesis issuing in a corrective and preventive action program. Labeled placeholders have been provided throughout for the insertion of the laboratory data, spectra, and interpretive perspective by which the framework is completed in application. The document is intended to serve both as an instrument for the investigation of a fault that has occurred and as a guide to the specifications, monitoring, nutrient management, and packaging decisions by which such a fault is prevented.